A Laser Backscatter Diagnostic (Time and Frequency) for Preheat Studies of MagLIF Targets on Z

David Bliss, Dan Scoglietti, Chris Bourdon, Tom Awe, Mike Campbell, Matthias Geissel, Matt Gomez, Pat Knapp, Adam B. Sefkow, Steve A. Slutz and Shane Speas

Sandia National Laboratories

National ICF Diagnostics Working Group Los Alamos, October 6-8, 2015







Exceptional service in the national interest





Sandia National Laboratories is a multi-program laboratory managed and operated by Sandia Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Lockheed Martin Corporation, for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000. Review & Approval: SAND





MagLIF (continued)





M.R. Gomez et al.: PRL 113, 155003 (2014)

- 2E12 neutrons in 2014 were short of modeling predictions but still encouraging.
- We suspected poor laser coupling and possible fuel contamination.
- Later modifications that improve laser coupling lead to LOWER neutron yields on integrated shots!
- A pre-conditioning task group now investigates laser pre-heat and its impact on the target.



Pre-Heat Challenges



- High energy density requires high initial gas density
 - High pressure at room temperature
 - Thick window: 180 psi D₂ requires 3.5μm kapton across 3mm
 - Very high laser absorption and back-scatter in the window
- Laser spot size is always a compromise
 - Small spots burn easily through Laser-Entrance-Hole (LEH)
 - Large spots are more efficient in fuel heating
- Laser Plasma Instabilities (LPI): SBS, SRS, TPD, ...
 - Hard to correctly predict or simulate
 - Lead to redirection and loss of energy
 - Caused by high intensity, inhomogeneity (laser spot!!), high density.



The current ZBL-spot is defocused and creates a non-uniform, spatially modulated illumination on the LEH.



A phase plate creates a more uniform spot. Ideally the best spot could be created with polarization and temporal smoothing.





to the Streaked Visible Spectroscopy (SVS) system and views the laser/target interaction through the final lens.

The fiber based ZBL backscatter diagnostic is coupled

















Laser light at the target is preferentially scattered from the prepulse over the main-pulse. H22





- The laser entry window is blasted out of the way by the prepulse, thereby reducing the scatter of the main-pulse by the window.
- This was a successful laser-only preheat as indicated by side on x-ray diagnostics.





LEH Laser transmission depends strongly on the prepulse and window thickness. (PECOS chamber studies)



Importance of pre-pulse



Importance of LEH Thickness



1µm mylar window,

No phase plate.



The backscatter of the laser prepulse without a phase plate is blue shifted compared to with a phase plate.



Liner filled with 15 psi Ne.



The blue shifted light is indicative of self-phase-modulation during self-focusing of the inhomogeneous laser spot.



The backscatter of the main laser pulse show a strong redshifted peak indicative of SBS. A simple analysis indicates T = 1keV heating of a Ne plasma.







 $\begin{array}{l} \nu \downarrow ia = \omega \downarrow ia \ /k \downarrow ia = \Delta \\ \omega \downarrow l \ /2 \ k \downarrow l = \sqrt{k \downarrow B} \ (\gamma \downarrow i \ T \downarrow i \\ + Z \not= b & \text{Gradewick Models sponds to } \Delta \omega = 930 \ \text{GHz} \\ \gamma \downarrow i = 3 \ \gamma \downarrow e = 1 \ \text{(Laser convention)} \\ z_{\text{Ne}} = 10 \ \text{fully ionized by the laser} \end{array}$

$$T\downarrow e$$
 =1.0 ±0.2 keV



Phase Plate / No Phase Plate 60 psi D₂ with 0.1% Ar-dopant, Cl-doped LEH







Data analysis: Stephanie Hansen

- 1.6 mm depth increase
- 2x emission from gas for argon K-shell radiation
- Phase plate reduces window contamination
- Increases gas emission



Studies of the Ne-Emission through LEH in the PECOS chamber indicate that the Ne lights up after the main laser pulse.



Time Gated Images



PECOS Experiments by Matthias Geissel



This is what neutron success and failure look like from the perspective of the laser backscatter diagnostic. Can you tell the difference?









Summary



- The backscatter diagnostic is simple to field and is sensitive many changes in MagLIF experimental parameters: Phase plate, gas species, window thickness, etc.
- When other diagnostics cannot be fielded the backscatter diagnostic can give important information about laser target interactions.
- Significant difference between pre- and main pulse laser interaction!
- Check for LPI such as SBS (possibly ~1kJ), SRS, TPD
- Avoid LPI or maybe not!







SVS1 ZBL20150115-2 H22





Ne X-ray output versus pressure with 1µm mylar LEH







Ne-Emission Side-On



The side-on window's field-of-view starts 4-5 mm behind the LEH. Therefore the laser drills into gas at around 3000 km/s!



^(*) Brightness adjusted for increased pinhole size



Backscatter Measurements SBS Near Beam Imaging Measurements







Backscatter Measurements SBS Near Beam Imaging Measurements







Pecos Backscatter Measurements







SBS measurements



Near Angle Backscatter Measurements



IDR AXIAT 2



SBS Measurements Camera: Evaluation pending



LASPE2 (no phase plate. ND4)





AXIAT2 (LLE phase plate, ND5)

0.5µm kapton, 15 PSI Ne



 $1.6\mu m$ kapton, 60 psi D_2



Why we like thin windows:



Aperture Ring Camera, 15 psi neon, LOS 90







PECOS Chamber Gas Cell Experiments "Hippogriff" Hybrid CMOS Camera (J. Porter)





SAND2015-XXXXC



8-frame^{*} probe beam B14121104





1μm LEH, ~2kJ

Duration of Main Pulse



-2 ns

0 ns







*: 2x interlaced Hippogriff with polarization multiplexing

Courtesy: John Porter, Mark Kimmel, Sean Lewis